

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,983	01/02/2004	Jeong-Min Choi	4591-366	5470
7590 04/07/2005  MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205			EXAMINER ERDEM, FAZLI	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/750,983	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fazli Erdem	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum stated in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated in the period for reply within the set or extended period	CATION.  If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sinication.  If days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thire utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>24 January 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	b)⊡ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,7 and 9-12 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/24/2005.</li> </ol>	O-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In Fig. 7 of Pet et al. it is disclosed a silicide layer 16 that is aligned between the isolation region 2 and the spacer 10.

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3, 7, and 9-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (5,899,742) in view of Pey et al. ((6,025,267)

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8, Sun discloses a manufacturing method for self-aligned local interconnects and contact simultaneously where in Figs. 3F and 3H, layer 31 is isolation region, formed in semiconductor substrate 30, gates 34a and 34b are formed over the substrate, and source/drain regions 36 are formed at both sides of the gates, layer 44 is protective insulating

Art Unit: 2826

Application/Control Number: 10/750,983

layer formed on the isolation region 31, , layers 42a, 42b, and 42c are silicide layers and 37a, 37e, 37c and 37d are the sidewall spacers. Sun fails to disclose the alignment structure of the silicide layer with respect to insulation layer and spacer. However, Pey et al. disclose a silicon nitride-TEOS oxide, salicide blocking layer for deep sub-micron devices where in Fig 4 – Fig 7, the alignment structure of the silicide layer 16b with respect to isolation region 2 and spacer 10.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required alignment structure of the silicide layer in Sun as taught by Pey et al. in order to have a semiconductor structure with higher reliability.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,983 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE April 2, 2005

> NATHÀN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800